

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,623	10/05/2000	Maki Yukawa	2257-163P	8138
7590 03/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Birch Stewart Kolasch And Birch PO Box 747			TRAN, KHANH C	
Falls Church, V	A 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2631	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004	. 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/679,623	YUKAWA, MAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Khanh Tran	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0.	5 October 2000.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,5 and 8-15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/05/2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 22-23 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. U.S. Patent 6,504,826 B1.

Regarding claim 1, in column 1 line 15 through column 2 line 41, Kato et al. discloses in figure 1 a conventional digital broadcasting receiver 100 comprising an input terminal 101 through which a digital broadcast signal is received, a receiving unit 11, a de-multiplexing unit 12, memory unit 13, analyzing control unit 13, decoding unit 15, On Screen Display (OSD) synthesizing unit 16.

Art Unit: 2631

The analyzing control unit 14 controls the de-multiplexed unit 12 to obtain the information about the channel selected by the input digital information from viewer input.

Kato et al. does not expressly disclose parameter set means for setting said program parameter as claimed in the patent application. However, applicant discloses in the specification on page 14 lines 18-23, and in figure 1, a controller 13 serves as parameter means for setting the program parameter in response to user input for a specific program. In light of the foregoing disclosure, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the analyzing control unit 14 as taught by Kato et al. performs the same function of the controller 13 as claimed in the patent application. Furthermore, as recited above, the analyzing control unit 14 controls the de-multiplexed unit 12 by setting control information associated with the selected channel. The control information describing information of a broadcast channel is used as information for selecting the channel and is included in the digital information.

Using analogous argument, one of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that demultiplexed unit 12 as taught by Kato et al. is similar to the signal extraction means as claimed in the instant application. The de-multiplexed unit 12 extracts image/audio/additional data and control information from input multiplexed digital information based on control information set by the analyzing control unit 14. The control information corresponds to the program parameter as claimed.

Kato et al. does not expressly disclose the analyzing control unit 14 of the conventional receiver 100 detects the content change of the information table as

Art Unit: 2631

claimed. However, when a viewer selects a program channel, the control information corresponding to selected channel is set. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the viewer selection of a channel corresponds to a predetermined criterion as claimed. Furthermore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the analyzing control unit 14 as taught by Kato et al. detects the control information of selected channel, corresponding to content change of said information table as claimed, and controls the de-multiplexer unit 12 to obtain the information about channel.

Regarding claim 2, Kato et al. does not expressly disclose the analyzing control unit 14 monitoring the contents of information table every predetermined time.

Nevertheless, the analyzing control unit 14 continue analyze received information data and responds to any viewer selection input. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that monitoring changes every predetermined time is a design choice. Furthermore, as recited above, the predetermined criterion corresponds to a viewer selection input, and presence/absence of content change of the information table would correspond to the viewer selecting or not selecting a program channel.

Regarding claim 3, as well known in the art of digital broadcasting, the information table inherently includes a program clock reference (PCR) which is a reference time information associating with each video stream. Kato et al. does not

Art Unit: 2631

expressly disclose the analyzing control unit 14 monitoring discontinuity of said PCR.

Using analogous argument as in claim 2, by monitoring the received information data for any change, the analyzing control unit 14 indirectly monitors discontinuity of the PCR since each video/audio stream has different PCR. As recited in claim 2, presence/absence of content change due to channel selection corresponds to presence/absence of discontinuity of the PCR.

Regarding claim 6, Kato et al. further discloses an EPG is generated based on acquired program information stored in the memory unit 13. Hence, digital broadcast signal includes an EPG shows a schedule for a broadcasted program. Since the analyzing control unit 14 analyzes the control information of the acquired program information stored in the memory unit 13, the analyzing control unit 14 would recognize an end time of currently received program as shown in the EPG. The viewer, corresponding to a predetermined criterion, would determine whether or not the end time of a program.

Regarding claim 7, the conventional digital broadcasting receiver includes an input terminal 101 for receiving digital broadcast signal directly from outside.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2631

3. Claims 4-5 and 8-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fukushima et al. U.S. Patent 6,477,204 B1 discloses "Video Image Decoding Method and Apparatus".

Sonoda et al. U.S. Patent 6,557,171 B1 discloses a digital TV broadcast sending/receiving system.

Yanagihara et al. U.S. Patent 5,899,578 discloses "Digital Signal Processor, Processing Method, Digital Signal Recording/Playback Device and Digital Signal Playback Method".

Lert, Jr. et al. U.S. Patent 4,230,990 discloses "Broadcast Program Identification Method and System".

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-2384. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 08:00 AM - 05:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 703-306-3034. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KCT